UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,627	03/01/2004	Brad N. Mathiowetz	P32.12-0022	1342
27367 7590 04/17/2009 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/790,627	MATHIOWETZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony Chuo	1795
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 I</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 24-35 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 24-35 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1795

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/3/09 has been entered.

### Response to Amendment

2. Claims 1-11 and 24-35 are currently pending. Claims 12-23 were previously cancelled. The amended claims do not overcome the previously stated 102 and 103 rejections. Therefore, upon further consideration, claims 1-11 and 24-35 stand rejected under the following 102 and 103 rejections.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how an explosive environment is also a

Art Unit: 1795

safe environment.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ura et al (WO 01/080331) using (US 2003/0017383) as an equivalent English translation.

The Ura reference discloses a temperature regulated, enclosed battery comprising: an electrical energy storage cell "2"; a heat dissipating portion "4a" (first layer) formed of a material exhibiting excellent thermal conductivity that is shaped to conform to a cylindrical portion of an outer surface of the cell, wherein the heat dissipating portion terminates at first layer ends that are on the cylindrical portion of the outer surface of the cell and has a thickness of approximately 0.3 mm; and a resin made pack case "1" (second layer) that is shaped to form an enclosure of an outer surface of the first layer and that extends beyond the outer surface to enclose the first layer ends and that exhibits poor thermal conductivity (See Figure 1 and 2 and paragraphs [0025]). It also discloses a heat dissipating portion that are made of aluminum or copper (See paragraph [0022]). It also discloses a battery pack that has a maximum temperature of the cells that is 43°C (See paragraphs [0034],[0036]).

Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

Examiner's note: It is inherent that the first and second layers have known thermal conductivities values because the materials are known. The limitation "a combustible atmosphere temperature classification that specifies an outer surface temperature during a short circuit of the electrical energy storage cell" is construed as being an inherent property of a battery that has an aluminum heat dissipating portion and resin made case because if the Ura temperature regulated, enclosed battery was tested during a short circuit condition of the battery, it would inherently have a temperature classification that specifies an outer surface temperature. It is also inherent that when the battery produces heat at a hot spot during short circuit, the first layer would spread the flow of heat over a portion of the outer surface of the first layer that is larger than the hot spot and the second layer of material would retard the flow of heat to an outer surface of the second layer. It is also inherent that a cover consisting of a first layer that is a aluminum or copper layer exhibiting excellent thermal conductivity and a second layer that is a resin case exhibiting poor thermal conductivity would retard the flow of heat to an outer surface such that the temperature of the outer surface of the resin case has a measured maximum temperature of 130 degrees centigrade or less during a short circuit condition. In addition, the limitation "controlling the outer surface temperature of the combined enclosure and electrical energy storage cell such that the temperature regulated, enclosed electrical energy storage cell comprises intrinsically safe equipment" is construed as being implicitly taught by Ura et al. Ura et al discloses a battery that suppresses a rise in temperature of a cell due to heat generation and is enclosed in a resin case. It is well known in the art that a short

Application/Control Number: 10/790,627

Art Unit: 1795

circuit results in a rise in temperature of a cell due to heat generation which creates safety problems for the battery. The Ura battery solves this problem by safely suppressing the temperature rise and enclosing the battery inside a resin case, thereby providing a battery that is intrinsically safe.

Page 5

In addition, the limitations "for use in an explosive safe environment", "the second layer ... which separates the electrical energy storage cell from the explosive environment", and "in the explosive environment" are construed as being intended use. Therefore, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The Ura battery pack is capable of being used in an explosive environment.

Further, it is inherent that when the Ura battery pack is exposed to an explosive environment, the exterior temperature of the second layer is less than an ignition temperature of the explosive environment during the electrical short circuit of the cells because in the event of an electrical short circuit of the cells, the first layer would dissipate the heat generated, thereby lowering the temperature of the second layer such that the exterior temperature of the second layer is less than an ignition temperature of the explosive environment.

Art Unit: 1795

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ura et al (WO 01/080331) using (US 2003/0017383) as an equivalent English translation, in view of Toyoda (JP 2001-243927). The Ura reference is applied to claim 1 for reasons stated above.

However, Ura et al does not expressly teach a second layer of material that is heat-shrink tubing or an elastic material. The Toyoda reference discloses a heat shrink member "8" that covers a battery (See paragraph [0008]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Ura battery cover to include a second layer of material that comprises heat-shrink tubing or elastic material in order to improve the reliability of the outer package of the battery while simplifying the manufacture of the battery.

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ura et al (WO 01/080331) using (US 2003/0017383) as an equivalent English translation, in view of Koehler et al (EP 0177225). The Ura reference is applied to claim 1 for reasons stated above.

However, Ura et al does not expressly teach a first layer that comprises two thermally conductive half-shells that each cover one side of a round surface of the energy storage cell. The Koehler reference discloses a cooling system for batteries that comprises cooling panels "15" & "16" that form thermally conductive half shells that each cover one side of a round surface of the energy storage cell (See Figure 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Ura battery cover to include a first layer that comprises two thermally conductive half-shells that each cover one side of a round surface of the energy storage cell in order to increase the surface area of the heat dissipating portion that contacts the energy storage cells such that the thermal efficiency of the heat dissipating portion is increased.

10. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Miller et al (US 5204194).

The Stafford reference discloses a battery comprising: a plurality of battery cells "22", wherein each cell is covered by a first heat-conductor layer "42" that is shaped to conform to a cylindrical portion of an outer surface of the battery that terminates at first layer ends that are on the cylindrical portion of the outer surface of the battery cell and a second structural support outer layer "48" that is shaped to conform to an outer surface of the first heat-conductor layer, wherein the first layer enclosed by the second layer to form a plurality of enclosed cells (See column 3 line 67 to column 4 line 2 and column 4 line 56 to column 5 line 24, and Figures 1, 3, & 5). It also discloses electrical contacts "34" (See column 4, lines 9-10).

Examiner's note: The first layer ends are construed as being the portions of the first heat conductor layer that form the interface between the two half-shells. The limitation "a combustible atmosphere temperature classification that specifies an outer surface temperature during a short circuit of the electrical energy storage cell" is construed as being an inherent property of a battery cover comprising a first layer that has a first thickness and high thermal conductivity and a second layer that has a second thickness and poor thermal conductivity.

In addition, the limitations "an explosive environment comprising a combustible atmosphere" and "the second layer ... which separates the electrical energy storage cell from the explosive environment" are construed as being intended use. Therefore, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The Stafford battery is capable of being used in an explosive environment.

Further, it is inherent that when the Stafford battery is exposed to an explosive environment, the exterior temperature of the second layer is less than an ignition temperature of the explosive environment during the electrical short circuit of the cells because in the event of an electrical short circuit of the cells, the first layer would dissipate the heat generated, thereby lowering the temperature of the second layer such that the exterior temperature of the second layer is less than an ignition temperature of the explosive environment.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,627

Page 9

Art Unit: 1795

However, Stafford et al does not expressly teach a protective device including a fusible link; electrical interconnections that interconnect the plurality of battery cells in a series circuit with the protective device and the electrical contacts; and a plastic resin shell shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device. The Miller reference discloses a multicell battery comprising: a plurality of electrical energy storage cells "24" & "26"; a protective device including a fusible link "64" and electrical interconnections "50" that interconnect the plurality of electrical energy storage cells in series circuit with the protective device and the electrical connection leads; and a plastic resin shell "22" shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device (See Figure 1 and 3 and column 3, lines 39-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford battery pack to include a protective device including a fusible link; electrical interconnections that interconnect the plurality of battery cells in a series circuit with the protective device and the electrical contacts; and a plastic resin shell shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device in order to provide an overcurrent protection device and outer case that are easily and economically constructed.

Examiner's note: It is inherent that the combination of the first and second layers and the protective device would render the battery intrinsically safe because combination of a battery cell with good heat removal properties and a protective device including a fusible link would necessarily form a battery that is intrinsically safe.

11. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Miller et al (US 5204194) as applied to claims 9 and 10 above, and further in view of Maggert et al (US 6724170).

However, Stafford et al as modified by Miller et al does not expressly teach a plastic resin shell that includes plastic resin separation bars positioned between the cells and the electrical interconnections to reduce shorting. The Maggert reference discloses a plastic casing "202" positioned between the cells and the electrical interconnections to prevent tabs from shorting (See column 3 line 66 to column 4 line 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford/Miller battery pack to include separation bars in the plastic resin shell in order to prevent the tabs from shorting to either tabs or other cell housings.

12. Claims 24-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Miller et al (US 5204194), and further in view of Pajakowski et al (US 6718425).

The Stafford reference discloses a battery comprising: a plurality of nickel hydrogen battery cells "22" that are rechargeable cells, wherein each cell is covered by a first heat-conductor layer "42" that is shaped to conform to a cylindrical portion of an outer surface of the battery that terminates at first layer ends that are on the cylindrical portion of the outer surface of the battery cell and a second structural support outer layer "48" that is shaped to conform to and completely covers the outer surface of the first heat-conductor layer (See column 3 line 65 to column 4 line 2 and column 4 line 56

Art Unit: 1795

to column 5 line 24, and Figures 1, 3, & 5). It also discloses electrical contacts "34" (See column 4, lines 9-10).

Examiner's note: The first layer ends are construed as being the portions of the first heat conductor layer that form the interface between the two half-shells. The limitation "a combustible atmosphere temperature classification that specifies an outer surface temperature during a short circuit of the electrical energy storage cell" is construed as being an inherent property of a battery cover comprising a first layer that has a first thickness and high thermal conductivity and a second layer that has a second thickness and poor thermal conductivity.

In addition, the limitations "in an explosive environment" and "the second layer ... which separates the electrical energy storage cell from the explosive environment" are construed as being intended use. Therefore, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The Stafford battery is capable of being used in an explosive environment.

Further, it is inherent that when the Stafford battery is exposed to an explosive environment, the exterior temperature of the second layer is less than an ignition temperature of the explosive environment during the electrical short circuit of the cells because in the event of an electrical short circuit of the cells, the first layer would dissipate the heat generated, thereby lowering the temperature of the second layer such

Art Unit: 1795

that the exterior temperature of the second layer is less than an ignition temperature of the explosive environment.

However, Stafford et al does not expressly teach a protective device including a fusible link; electrical interconnections that interconnect the plurality of battery cells in a series circuit with the protective device and the electrical contacts; and a plastic resin shell shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device. The Miller reference discloses a multicell battery comprising: a plurality of electrical energy storage cells "24" & "26"; a protective device including a fusible link "64" and electrical interconnections "50" that interconnect the plurality of electrical energy storage cells in series circuit with the protective device and the electrical connection leads; and a plastic resin shell "22" shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device (See Figure 1 and 3 and column 3, lines 39-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford battery pack to include a protective device including a fusible link; electrical interconnections that interconnect the plurality of battery cells in a series circuit with the protective device and the electrical contacts; and a plastic resin shell shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device in order to provide an overcurrent protection device and outer case that are easily and economically constructed.

However, Stafford et al as modified by Miller et al does not expressly teach an apparatus comprising a data acquisition unit, wherein the apparatus is portable and handheld. The Pajakowski reference discloses a data system (data acquisition unit) for

Art Unit: 1795

collecting, displaying, and analyzing data that is portable and handheld and is powered by a battery power supply (See Abstract).

Therefore, one skill in the art could have combined the Stafford/Miller battery pack and the Pajakowski data system by known methods with no change to their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Examiner's note: It is inherent that the apparatus is intrinsically safe because the combination of a data acquisition unit and a battery cell that has inherent safety features would necessarily result in an apparatus that is intrinsically safe.

13. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Miller et al (US 5204194) and Pajakowski et al (US 6718425) as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Iwasaki et al (US 6325611).

However, Stafford et al as modified by Miller et al and Pajakowski et al does not expressly teach a short circuit that is external to the battery. The Iwasaki reference discloses an external short circuiting test that forms a hot spot on the cell near the lead member by heat generation due to the resistance of the lead member (See column 7, lines 13-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford/Miller/Pajakowski apparatus to include a short circuit that is external to the battery in order to confirm that the battery

can maintain high safety even under the application of an extraordinarily high charge voltage.

14. Claims 30-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Miller et al (US 5204194), and further in view of Kosh (US 2003/0046974).

The Stafford reference discloses a battery comprising: a plurality of nickel hydrogen battery cells "22" that are rechargeable cells, wherein each cell is covered by a first heat-conductor layer "42" that is shaped to conform to a cylindrical portion of an outer surface of the battery that terminates at first layer ends that are on the cylindrical portion of the outer surface of the battery cell and a second structural support outer layer "48" that is shaped to conform to and completely covers the outer surface of the first heat-conductor layer (See column 3 line 65 to column 4 line 2 and column 4 line 56 to column 5 line 24, and Figures 1, 3, & 5). It also discloses electrical contacts "34" (See column 4, lines 9-10).

Examiner's note: The first layer ends are construed as being the portions of the first heat conductor layer that form the interface between the two half-shells. The limitation "a combustible atmosphere temperature classification that specifies an outer surface temperature during a short circuit of the electrical energy storage cell" is construed as being an inherent property of a battery cover comprising a first layer that has a first thickness and high thermal conductivity and a second layer that has a second thickness and poor thermal conductivity.

Art Unit: 1795

In addition, the limitations "in an explosive environment" and "the second layer ... which separates the electrical energy storage cell from the explosive environment" are construed as being intended use. Therefore, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The Stafford battery is capable of being used in an explosive environment.

Further, it is inherent that when the Stafford battery is exposed to an explosive environment, the exterior temperature of the second layer is less than an ignition temperature of the explosive environment during the electrical short circuit of the cells because in the event of an electrical short circuit of the cells, the first layer would dissipate the heat generated, thereby lowering the temperature of the second layer such that the exterior temperature of the second layer is less than an ignition temperature of the explosive environment.

However, Stafford et al does not expressly teach a protective device including a fusible link; electrical interconnections that interconnect the plurality of battery cells in a series circuit with the protective device and the electrical contacts; and a plastic resin shell shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device. The Miller reference discloses a multicell battery comprising: a plurality of electrical energy storage cells "24" & "26"; a protective device including a fusible link "64" and electrical interconnections "50" that interconnect the plurality of electrical energy storage cells in series circuit with the protective device and the electrical connection leads; and a plastic

resin shell "22" shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device (See Figure 1 and 3 and column 3, lines 39-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford battery pack to include a protective device including a fusible link; electrical interconnections that interconnect the plurality of battery cells in a series circuit with the protective device and the electrical contacts; and a plastic resin shell shaped to receive the plurality of covered cells and the protective device in order to provide an overcurrent protection device and outer case that are easily and economically constructed.

However, Stafford et al as modified by Miller et al does not expressly teach an apparatus comprising a calibrator, wherein the apparatus is portable and handheld. The Kosh reference discloses a handheld calibration module "12" and a battery located in the handheld module (See paragraphs [0016],[0018]).

Therefore, one skill in the art could have combined the Stafford/Miller battery pack and the Kosh calibrator by known methods with no change to their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Examiner's note: It is inherent that the apparatus is intrinsically safe because the combination of a calibrator and a battery cell that has inherent safety features would necessarily result in an apparatus that is intrinsically safe.

15. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stafford et al (US 5763118) in view of Miller et al (US 5204194) and Kosh (US 2003/0046974) as applied to claim 30 above, and further in view of Iwasaki et al (US 6325611).

However, Stafford et al as modified by Miller et al and Kosh does not expressly teach a short circuit that is external to the battery. The Iwasaki reference discloses an external short circuiting test that forms a hot spot on the cell near the lead member by heat generation due to the resistance of the lead member (See column 7, lines 13-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stafford/Miller/Kosh apparatus to include a short circuit that is external to the battery in order to confirm that the battery can maintain high safety even under the application of an extraordinarily high charge voltage.

#### Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments filed 2/3/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the features "the second layer encloses the electrical energy storage cell and separates the storage cell from the explosive environment" and "the exterior temperature of the second layer which is exposed to the explosive environment is maintained at a temperature which is less than an ignition temperature of the explosive environment when an electrical short circuit is provided across the electrical energy storage cell" are not shown in the cited references.

Art Unit: 1795

As stated above, the "explosive environment" limitation is construed as being intended use. In addition, "an exterior temperature of the second layer that is less than an ignition temperature of the explosive environment during a short circuit of the electrical energy storage cell" is an inherent property of either the Ura battery pack or the Stafford battery.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571)272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 1795

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TC

/Jonathan Crepeau/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795